

Heckington Fen Solar Park

EN010123

Statement of Common Ground with Boston Borough Council, North Kesteven District Council and Lincolnshire County Council

Applicant: Ecotricity (Heck Fen Solar) Limited

Document Reference: 7.6a

Pursuant to: APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

Deadline 5: 13th February 2024

Document Revision: 4 February 2024



STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

Document Properties						
Regulation Reference	Regulation 5(2)(q)					
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	EN010123					
Application Document Reference	7.6a					
Title	Statement of Common Groun	nd				
Prepared By	Heckington Fen Energy Park Project Team					
	North Kesteven District Coun	cil				
	Lincolnshire County Council					
	Boston Borough Council					
	Version History					
Version	Date	Version Status				
Rev 0	February 2023	Draft for Application Submission				
Rev 1	September 2023	Deadline 1				
Rev 2	November 2023	Deadline 2				
Rev 3	January 2024	Deadline 4				
Rev 4	February 2024	Deadline 5				

CONTENTS:

TABL	E 1 - PLANNING HISTORY OF RENEWABLE PROJECTS	4
TABL	.E 2 - MATTERS ADDRESSED	8
1.	BIODIVERSITY, ECOLOGY AND THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT	8
2.	COMPULSORY ACQUISITION	14
3.	DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER (DDCO)	15
4.	ENERGY GENERATION AND STORAGE	18
5.	GENERAL AND CROSS-TOPIC MATTERS	19
6.	HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT	27
7.	LAND USE AND SOILS	29
8.	LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL, AND DESIGN	36
9.	SOCIO-ECONOMICS	42
10.	TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT	48
11.	WATER ENVIRONMENT AND FLOOD RISK	49
12.	OTHER MATTERS	50
TABL	E 3 - LOCAL POLICIES CONSIDERED IMPORTANT AND RELEVANT	56
TABL	E 4 – OUTLINE MANAGEMENT PLANS SUBMITTED AT DEADLINE 4	57
TABL	E 5 - SECTION 106 HEADS OF TERMS	58
SIGN	IATORIES	59

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by Ecotricity (Heck Fen Solar) Limited ("the Applicant") in conjunction with North Kesteven District Council ("NKDC"); Lincolnshire County Council ("LCC"); and Boston Borough Council ("BBC").
- 1.2 The proposed development comprises the construction, operation (including maintenance), and decommissioning of a ground mounted solar photovoltaic (PV) electricity generation and energy storage facility (hereafter referred to as "the Energy Park"), cable route to, and above and below ground works at, the National Grid Bicker Fen Substation (hereafter referred to as "the Proposed Development" (inclusive of Energy Park)) on land at Six Hundreds Farm, Six Hundreds Drove, East Heckington, Sleaford, Lincolnshire.
- 1.3 In the table below of this SoCG:
 - "Agreed" or "No comment" indicates where the issue has been resolved or the parties have no further comment, and
 - "Unresolved" or "Not agreed" indicates where there are different views or disagreement between the principal parties on the specific matter.
- 1.4 It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance and therefore have not been considered further. It is recognised however that engagement between all parties will need to continue due to their joint interest in matters arising from the Proposed Development.
- 1.5 The purpose of the SoCG is to identify the areas where there is agreement but also where the principal parties do not agree.

The Proposed Development

1.6 It is agreed that the proposed development is for a temporary use of land only which will be in place for a period of 40 years from the date of the commencement of electricity generation.

Development Consent Order

1.7 It is agreed that North Kesteven District Council and Boston Borough Council will act as a relevant planning authority in relation to the discharging of the requirements of the DCO applicable to their administrative area and LPA boundary. Where the expertise of LCC is required then the County Council will either be the discharging authority or subject to consultation during the approval process, or vice versa as appropriate.

Local Planning Policy Context

- 1.8 It is agreed that the development plan applicable to the development proposal comprises:
 - The Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2018 2040, adopted 13 April 2023
 - South East Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011 2036, adopted 8 March 2019
- 1.9 It is agreed that the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2018 2040 replaces in full The Central Lincolnshire Local Plan 2012 2036 (April 2017).
- 1.10 Table 3 covers the local policies which are considered important and relevant.

Planning History

1.11 The planning history related to the Energy Park and relevant to the proposed development is included at Table 1.

Table 1 – Planning history of renewable projects

	Description of Development	Decision	Date
09/0628/FUL	Installation of a 70m high wind monitoring mast for a temporary period of 18 months	Approved	15 October 2009

	Description of Development	Decision	Date
09/1067/S36	Application (submitted under section 36 of the Electricity Act 1989) for consent to construct and operate a wind energy electricity generating station	Local Authority objected to the proposal. Application approved subject to conditions – February 2013	08 February 2012
15/0416/S36	S.36C of the Electricity Act 1989 and S. 90(2ZA) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Application to vary S. 36 consent and deemed permission for the Heckington Fen Wind Park, Heckington Fen, near East Heckington.	Local Authority had no objection to the proposal but expressed concerns regarding landscape impacts and proposed re-wording of the RMS condition.	05 June 2015*
		Local Authority raised concerns regarding differing noise reports from applicant and objectors and proposed re-wording of the RMS condition.	24 January 2017
		Application not being progressed – confirmed November 2022*	
18/1384/S36	S.36C of the Electricity Act 1989 and S. 90(2ZA) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Application to vary S. 36 consent and deemed planning permission for the Heckington Fen Wind Park, Heckington Fen, near East Heckington to allow for the date by which development must be commenced from 5 years to 10 years.	Local Authority raised significant concerns to the proposal. Application refused – July 2022	06 December 2018

^{*}Although no formal decision has been issued by BEIS on the 2015 application, they (BEIS) have advised that they do not intend to consider the 2015 Variation application further. The Applicant have therefore not assessed the wind turbine permission as part of the baseline for Environmental Statement. NKDC's position is that the wind turbine application (09/1067/S36) has expired and is incapable of being implemented.

Impacts of the development

- 1.12 It is agreed that all environmental constraints and sensitive receptors relevant to the determination of the application have been considered in the application plans and documents.
- 1.13 It is agreed that the development proposed is an EIA development, and the submitted EIA assesses the realistic worst-case effects of the development.
- 1.14 The parties agree that, with the exception of the impacts listed under Table 2, the proposal includes mitigation measures that are capable of reasonably and satisfactorily addressing all other substantive impacts of the proposal necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms.

Requirements

- 1.15 It is agreed that the DCO requirements will be necessary to address the following matters:
 - 2. Commencement of the authorised development
 - 3. Phasing the authorised development and date of final commissioning
 - 4. Requirement for written approval
 - 5. Approved details and amendments to them
 - 6. Detailed design approval
 - 7. Fire safety management
 - 8. Landscape ecological management plan

- 9. Implementation and maintenance of landscaping
- 10. Fencing and other means of enclosure
- 11. Surface and foul water drainage
- 12. Archaeology
- 13. Construction environmental management plan
- 14. Construction traffic management plan
- 15. Operational noise
- 16. Supply chain, employment and skills
- 17. Permissive path
- 18. Decommissioning and restoration
- 19. Operational Environmental Management Plan
- 20. Soil Management Plan
- 21. Community Orchard
- 1.16 A schedule of DCO Requirements is included in the draft DCO (document reference 3.1).

Summary of main issues not agreed

- 1.17 Based on engagement to date, common ground has not been possible to fully resolve in relation to the following elements (or sections within these):
 - Land use and agriculture (the use of BMV land and the reduction in arable farming opportunities) NKDC and LCC, cumulative effects with other NSIP solar proposals across Lincolnshire
 - Landscape LCC, over-reliance on hedgerow planting methodology and application of 'significance' in relation to 'moderate effect'.
 - South Kyme Tower NKDC, level of harm not agreed but agreement that the public benefit test is met.

Table 2 - Matters addressed

Refe	rence and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position		
1.	1. Biodiversity, Ecology and the Natural Environment							
1.1	Agreed / No comment	Implications	Implications	Defer to other parties	It is agreed that	Defer to other parties		
1.1	Agreed / No comment	for statutory	are detailed in	with expertise,	impacts on statutory	with expertise,		
		and locally	the Chapter 8,	notably Natural	and local sites have	notably Natural		
		protected	with various	England, North	been adequately	England, North		
		habitats sites	mitigation	Kesteven District	assessed. AECOM	Kesteven District		
			measures	Council's ecological	offer no comments	Council's ecological		
			summarised.	advisors and	in relation to HRA	advisors and		
			No residual	Lincolnshire Wildlife	generally, noting	Lincolnshire Wildlife		
			impacts	Trust.	that the assessment	Trust.		
			deemed		of wintering birds is			
			significant.		appropriate			
					provided that			
					Natural England			
					agrees with the			

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
				findings of the HRA report.	
1.2 Agreed	Appropriatene ss of habitat surveys	Survey for Quail will be undertaken in 2024.	Defer to other parties with expertise, notably Natural England, North Kesteven District Council's ecological advisors and Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust.	Assessment of impacts on scarce arable flora has been addressed and the Council agrees that additional survey work for quail can be secured by Requirement.	Defer to other parties with expertise, notably Natural England, North Kesteven District Council's ecological advisors and Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust.
1.3 Agreed	Effects on specific species and their habitats, including European protected species (EPS)	Requirements can satisfactorily deal with arable flora; ground nesting birds; and fencing. Natural England's process for badger licencing covers mitigation requirements.	Defer to other parties with expertise, notably Natural England, North Kesteven District Council's ecological advisors and Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust.	The Applicant's proposed mitigation strategy for badger (Natural England badger licence) is acceptable. Previous concerns in relation to scarce arable flora have been addressed and the Council is satisfied that further surveys for quail can be secured by Requirement. The need for further information on badger and deer	Defer to other parties with expertise, notably Natural England, North Kesteven District Council's ecological advisors and Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust.

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
				gates in relation to security fencing can also be addressed by Requirement.	
1.4 AgreedNot agreed	Ground nesting birds	The skylark mitigation provides a cascade of options, showing the Applicant is considering even the residual impact on skylarks which elsewhere (on other solar projects) have been considered acceptable.	No comment.	A skylark mitigation strategy is agreed. The cascade approach is outlined in the oLEMP and secured by Requirement 8 of the DCO.	No comment.
1.5 Agreed	Effects on trees and hedgerows	Further survey effort of the veteran tree can be undertaken	In relation to existing trees and hedgerows LCC have no significant comments but supports the	No comment other than to highlight that the Oak within Group G39 will need to be re-assessed	Removal of trees at Bicker Fen Substation is unfortunate. Outline Landscape and Ecological
		once the land	replacement of trees	for 'veteran tree'	Management Plan

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		access is agreed. Further planting around Bicker Fen Substation covered in the oLEMP.	lost around the Bicker Fen Substation as agreed with Boston Borough Council. Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan updated at Deadline 3 satisfies this issue.	status and that stand-off distances / root protection zones might need to be adjusted.	updated at Deadline 3 satisfies this issue, and BBC is comfortable that the mitigation is adequately secured in the oLEMP given that the final scheme submitted under Requirement 8 must be in accordance with the outline scheme, and BBC have approval powers. The parties agree that the exact legal mechanism and/or contractual arrangements for the payment of the fee can be dealt with alongside submission and approval of the final plan, subject though to the OLEMP being amended to reflect that a \$106 agreement will be used to fully discharge

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
					this matter. However, the parties will work together to agree heads of terms during the examination period and proceed to enter into the section 106 agreement shortly thereafter.
1.6 Agreed	Habitat creation, enhancement and application of Net Gain	Positive with hedgerow and woodland creation, enhancement of existing features and application of Net Gain showing significant improvement on current intensive arable landscape which will become grassland.		NKDC has no issue with the Requirement fixing the use of The Statutory Metric.	No additional comment further to the above.

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		Calculated using The Statutory Biodiversity Metric. This will be secured during the operation of the whole of			
		the authorised development.			
1.7 Not agreed	A minimum of 65% biodiversity net gain in habitat units.	Requirement 8 of the DCO sets out how a minimum of 65% biodiversity net gain in	LCC welcome the commitment made at Deadline 3 to secure a minimum 65% BNG however this is still significantly less than that claimed within	Similarly, NKDC welcome the commitment to 65% BNG in Requirement 8. The information presented is suitable	No additional comment further to the above.
		habitat units will be achieved. The Applicant considers 65% is sufficient, and well in excess of the	the application. LCC believes there is still scope for a higher % to be agreed that would strike a reasonable balance between giving the Applicant the	to set terms of reference for agreement of the detailed plan later as a Requirement, however NKDC consider there is still scope for a higher %	
		10% minimum for TCPA applications,	flexibility they require whilst ensuring one of the key benefits of	to be agreed that would strike a reasonable balance	

Refe	rence and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
			and not yet applicable for NSIPs.	this scheme as promoted by the Applicant is secured/delivered.	between giving the Applicant the flexibility they require whilst ensuring one of the key benefits of this scheme as promoted by the Applicant is secured/delivered.	
2.	Compulsory Acquisition	n				
2.1	Agreed / No comment	Whether the full extent of the land, rights and powers that are sought to be compulsorily acquired, including access for maintenance, temporary possession, powers to override easements and rights	There is no freehold acquisition sought in the DCO. The remaining powers sought are in relation to the acquisition of new rights and/or temporary possession which is proportionate and necessary	LCC is a landowner for the Highways network and also on the grid route. From a Highway perspective, LCC is content that the detail of the proposed highway improvements can be addressed through the DCO without utilising the Compulsory Acquisition powers.	No comment.	No comment.

Refe	rence and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position		
		under streets, are necessary to facilitate or are incidental to the Proposed Development	to facilitate the Proposed Development.					
2.2	Unresolved	LCC landownership	The Applicant has sought engagement with LCC's agents but have been notified they do not wish to engage at this time.	Discussions remain ongoing with the landowner's agents regarding the parcel of land owned by LCC (at plot 76B) on the grid connection route, however no formal representation has been made by LCC in its capacity as a landowner and at this time no agreement has been reached. Also refer to LCC response to ExQ2 CA.2.3 (Document reference REP4-055)	No comment.	No comment.		
3.	3. Draft Development Consent Order (dDCO)							
3.1	Agreed	The appropriatene	The draft DCO is based on	The dDCO is agreed.	The dDCO is agreed.	The dDCO is agreed.		

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
	ss of the draft Development Consent Order including its structure,	legal precedent and includes the appropriate structure,			
	scope, provisions, requirements and protective provisions	scope, provisions, requirements and protective provisions.			
3.2 Agreed	Schedule 14, Paragraph 5: fee schedule to discharge conditions	In the absence of an NSIP fee schedule the Applicant proposes a staggered payment structure, with the maximum rate of £2535. This position is reflected in the draft DCO submitted at Deadline 5, and follows that submitted	Majority of the fee for discharging conditions should not be left to a PPA as this is a voluntary agreement and should this not be agreed/secured then this leaves the RPAs at risk of receiving a nominal fee for processing submissions made pursuant to the various Requirements. An application fee of	Majority of the fee for discharging conditions should not be left to a PPA as this is a voluntary agreement and should this not be agreed/secured then this leaves the RPAs at risk of receiving a nominal fee for processing submissions made pursuant to the various Requirements.	Majority of the fee for discharging conditions should not be left to a PPA as this is a voluntary agreement and should this not be agreed/secured then this leaves the RPAs at risk of receiving a nominal fee for processing submissions made pursuant to the various Requirements. An application fee of £2535 is proposed for
		on Cottam NSIP at their	£2535 is proposed for key Requirements as	An application fee of £2535 is proposed	key Requirements as this is the more

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		Deadline 4 - REP4-013	this is the more reasonable given the size and nature of some of the details that will be subject of the Requirements. This is a similar amount contained within the DDCO for Mallard Pass (which was drafted pre Fee Regs revised in 2023) and also the same as that which the promoters of the Cottam NSIP project have agreed – see their Deadline 4 submission REP4-013.	for key Requirements as this is the more reasonable given the size and nature of some of the details that will be subject of the Requirements. This is a similar amount contained within the DDCO for Mallard Pass (which was drafted pre Fee Regs revised in 2023) and also the same as that which the promoters of the Cottam NSIP project have agreed – see their Deadline 4 submission REP4-013.	size and nature of some of the details that will be subject of the Requirements. This is a similar amount contained within the DDCO for Mallard Pass (which was drafted pre Fee
3.3 Agreed	Non- generation	The Applicant has added wording to the Operational Environmental Management	Agreeable to the amendment of the OEMP proposed by the Applicant at Deadline 5.	Agreeable to the amendment of the OEMP proposed by the Applicant at Deadline 5.	amendment of the OEMP proposed by the

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
3.4 Agreed	Phasing – Requirement 3	Plan to outline the steps it will take in the event of a prolonged period of nongeneration. The Applicant maintains that the effects have been assessed and therefore further approval processes for phasing are not required.	No comment.	NKDC understand the Applicant and NGET (where applicable) require certainty to progress specific phases, and therefore a notification process is in place, which does not require approval.	No comment.
4. Energy Generation an	d Storage				
4.1 No comment	Likely potential energy generated by the solar panels	Sufficient to power some 100,000 homes – calculations are provided in the Consultation	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.

Refe	rence and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
			Report – Appendix 1 (APP-024).			
4.2	No comment	Capacity of the secured Grid connection	Sufficient for the scheme proposed as detailed in the Grid Connection Statement (doc. ref. 5.4, APP-051).	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.
5.	General and Cross-Top	oic Matters				
5.1	No comment	Air Quality	There are expected to be no significant effects to air quality as a result of the Proposed Development.	Borough Council's Environmental Health Officers.	No comment. The Council agrees that a Requirement can be used to address control of emissions during construction and operation.	No comment.
5.2	Agreed / No comment	Alternatives and site selection	Other technologies have been considered, as well as a comprehensive back check,	Applicant's approach to the site selection process and recognises that this has been influenced taking into account a	NKDC has agreed the flood risk sequential test parameters with the Applicant. NKDC notes the Applicant's	No comment.

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		guided	number of different	approach to the site	
		primarily by	factors including	selection process	
		grid	proximity to a grid	and recognises that	
		availability,	connection;	this has been	
		and a willing	minimising impacts	influenced taking	
		landowner.	on designated sites	into account a	
		Further details	(e.g. SSSI/Listed	number of different	
		are covered in	Buildings, etc). LCC	factors including	
		the Applicant's	cannot reach	proximity to a grid	
		ISH2 Summary	agreement on the use	connection;	
		of Oral	of Best and Most	minimising impacts	
		Statement	Versatile Land.	on designated sites	
		(REP1-020)		(e.g. SSSI/Listed	
		that being that		Buildings, etc).	
		any alternative		NKDC cannot reach	
		site would fail		agreement on the	
		to comply as		use of Best and Most	
		they are not		Versatile Land, and	
		deliverable in		the consideration of	
		the same		alternatives in the	
		timescale.		context of	
				agricultural land	
				considerations	
				should be a	
				particular focus for	
				the ExA.	
5.3 Agreed	Benefits	Benefits	Welcome the addition	Welcome the	No comment.
- Agreed		include a	of the permissive path	benefits of the	
		permissive	but question how	scheme as referred	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		path, business	much it will be used	to in NKDC's Local	
		rates, a	and despite the	Impact Report	
		community	proposed 40 year life	including addition of	
		orchard with	maintains a	the permissive path	
		access by	preference for paths	and socio-economic	
		arrangement,	to be permanent.	benefits.	
		and most	Links to other paths	Biodiversity Net	
		importantly	outside of the Order	Gain benefits are	
		working	Limits would also be	also noted, however	
		towards net	welcomed.	NKDC maintains	
		zero targets.	Biodiversity Net Gain	that the	
		The	benefits are also	commitment could	
		Operational	noted (subject to	be higher than that	
		Environmental	being secured),	included in the	
		Management	however LCC	dDCO – see	
		Plan covers the	maintains that the	comments in	
		permissive	commitment could be	Section 1.7 above.	
		path for the	higher than that		
		lifetime of the	included in the dDCO		
		project as well	- see comments in		
		as securing the	Section 1.7 above.		
		grazing.			
5.4 Agreed	Cumulative	Cumulative	Totality of the	Cumulative socio-	No comment.
J.+ Agreed	and in-	and in-	projects across	economic and	
	combination	combination	Lincolnshire have	climate change are	
	effects with	effects	been a key focus for	considered positive.	
	other projects	considered	LCC during the	Cumulative ALC and	
	and	within the	Examination,	farming implications	
	developments	relevant EIA	particularly in relation	across all projects	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
	in the locality including other solar farm proposals in the region	Chapters. The Applicant has addressed the cumulative projects in the Interrelationsh ip Report submitted at each relevant deadline.	to loss of Best and Most Versatile land; and potential landscape impacts. LCC notes the Interrelationship Report for schemes coming forward. LCC's position on BMV cumulative impacts is outlined in Section 7.2 below. Assessment of cumulative and incombination effects overall are agreed.	are negative, and this is dealt with under Section 7.2. NKDC notes the submission of the Interrelationship Report for schemes coming forward noting cumulative adverse effects in relation to agricultural land across Lincolnshire and cumulative adverse LVIA effect in relation to Beacon Fen Energy Park. It is noted and agreed that cumulative effects associated with other projects are outside of the Applicant's control.	
5.5 No comment	Electromagnet ic field effects	Considered within Chapter 18 of the ES.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.
5.6 No comment	Extent of the Rochdale envelope	Considered within Chapter 4 of the ES,	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
5.7 Agreed / No comment	Fire and safety hazards associated with storage technology	with necessary flexibility inbuilt for a project of this nature. The Applicant has included a provision in the outline Energy Storage Safety Management Plan [REP3-013], at paragraph 2.1.4, which commits to the requested provisions for LFR in the context of a familiarisation exercise and payment from the Applicant,		No comment other than to note that NKDC will be making written submissions regarding the need to consider the use of Lithium-Iron Phosphate batteries.	No comment subject
		as well as a monitoring fee for the benefit of LFR for the			

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
Reference and Status	Торіс	Iifetime of the scheme. The parties agree that the exact legal mechanism and/or contractual arrangement for the payment of the	LCC S POSICION	NRDC S POSICION	BBC'S POSICION
		fee can be dealt with alongside submission and approval of the final plan.			
5.8 Agreed / No comment	Greenhouse gas emissions arising during all phases	Recycling is covered in the Outline Decommissioni ng and Restoration Plan (document reference 7.9).	No comment.	The submitted data / estimates in the ES does not account for GHG emissions associated with the recycling or disposal of components and panels at specialist disposal facilities; rather that all material is produced	No comment.

Refe	rence and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
					for the first time use in the development, and then recycled post-development.	
5.9	No comment	Human health and wellbeing	Considered throughout the ES.	No comment.	No comment.	No comment.
5.10	Agreed	Need case	Considered within the Planning Statement and Statement of Need, crucially to meet net zero requirements.	As per EN1/EN3 the Applicant does not need to prove a Need case for renewable energy, as such LCC has no comment.	As per EN1/EN3 the Applicant does not need to prove a Need case for renewable energy, as such NKDC has no comment.	As per EN1/EN3 the Applicant does not need to prove a Need case for renewable energy, as such BBC has no comment.
5.11	No further comment	Noise and vibration	Considered within Chapter 12. An Operational Environmental Management Plan is submitted at Deadline 2 (ExA.oOEMP- D2.V1).	Defer to other parties with expertise, notably North Kesteven District Council and Boston Borough Council's Environmental Health Officers.	Short term negative construction impact. Particular consideration needed for Elm Grange school. Operationally no comment, as this forms part of the Requirements / Outline CEMP and requested Operational	No comment.

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
				Environmental	
				Management Plan.	
5.12 No further comment	Policy and	ES complete	Table 3 considers the	Table 3 identifies	No comment.
3112 No farther comment	legislation	and supported	local policies	the local policies	
	including	by Statement	considered important	considered	
	emerging	of Need and	and relevant.	important and	
	National Policy	Planning	In relation to the	relevant. The NKDC	
	Statements	Statement.	November 2023 EN1	LIR and WR	
	(NPS).	NPS	and EN3 guidance	discusses relevant	
		considered in	refer to LCC response	policy to be engaged	
		Updated	to EXQ2 question	in relation to each	
		Statement of	GEN.2.2 submitted at	technical chapter	
		Need and	DL4 (document	and notes particular	
		Planning	reference REP4-055)	conflict with national	
		Statement with		and local policy and	
		the Change		guidance relating to	
		Application.		BMV land; including	
				in relation to the	
				November 2023 EN1	
				and EN3 guidance.	
5.13 Agreed	Relevant DCO	The DCO is	No further schemes to	No High Court	No comment.
	decisions and	based on	add at Deadline 5.	challenges to	
	High Court	various made	LCC have referred to	comment on. No	
	challenges	and emerging	precedents where	further schemes to	
		Orders across	applicable and in the	add at Deadline 5.	
		the energy	context of where	NKDC have referred	
		sector and,	points have been in	to precedents where	
		more	dispute, for example	applicable and in the	
		specifically,	in relation to fees for	context of points	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		solar DCO projects such as Longfield, Little Crow DCO, and Cleve Hill and those projects currently in Examination within Lincolnshire.	discharge of conditions.	that have been in dispute, for example in relation to fees for discharge of conditions.	
5.14 No comment	Waste management, including replacement equipment and decommissioni ng	Considered in Chapter 18, and Chapter 17 where necessary.	No comment, GHG associated with decommissioning covered above.	No comment, GHG covered above.	No comment.
6. Historic Environ	ment				
6.1 No further comme	nt Effects on designated and non-designated heritage assets and their settings	South Kyme Tower, the scheme will not result in harm	District Council's Conservation Officer, Heritage Trust	NKDC position is that the proposals cause lower end 'less than substantial harm' to setting / significance of South Kyme Tower (scheduled and listed) but that	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		is covered in REP3-039.	below in relation to non-designated heritage assets in particular buried archaeology.	the public benefit test would be met. Otherwise agree with ES conclusions.	
6.2 No further comment	Appropriatene ss of schemes of investigation for archaeology	Trial trenching not completed on the cable route. Outline WSIs included for Evaluation and Mitigation sections, associated with the cable route and energy park (and subsequent cable route following Evaluation e.g. trial trenching).	Sufficient trenching completed on Energy Park to inform a Mitigation Strategy. LCC agreeable to further trenching along cable route being secured as Requirement if not completed before the close of the Examination.	NKDC note the submission of REP2-048 and REP2-036 in relation to energy park archaeological mitigation areas and initial archaeological assessment of parts of the cable corridor. This has advanced the understanding of impact of significance along the cable corridor and the Council is satisfied that the archaeological mitigation strategy for the project can be secured by Requirement on that	BBC notes the Applicant is undertaking trial trenching on the cable grid route where access is available. BBC agreeable to further trenching along cable route being secured as Requirement if not completed before the close of the Examination.

Refe	ence and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position		
7.	7. Land Use and Soils							
7.1	Agreed	Appropriatene ss and accuracy of Best and Most Versatile designations within the site	The Energy Park comprises 50.6% Grade 3b, and 49% a mix of Grades 1 (11.1%), 2 (7.4%) and 3a (30.5%) in a complex pattern mostly intermixed with Grade 3b, such that few fields are wholly of BMV quality. A total of 81% is Grade 3.	accuracy of the methodology and the results of the survey	NKDC's position is that the spatial approach, distribution and analysis of soil augering is acceptable relative to the size of the site. Appropriate methodologies have been adopted. NKDC agree with the proportions of BMV presented however point to there being very limited margin for professional interpretation, noting the subjectivity of overall assessment. This is relevant mindful of the near 50/50 proportions of BMV to non-BMV.			

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
7.2 Not agreed	Loss of BMV agricultural	The Savills Report (APP-	Refer to LIR paragraph 7.8.14	NKDC highlight that there is a near	No comment.
	land including	220) provides	[REP1-028] and	50/50 distribution of	
	implications	useful context	_	BMV to non-BMV	
	for food	to why the land	Representation	across the energy	
	production and	is not	[REP2-104].	park site and its	
	supply	producing food	Nearly 50% of the	does not	
		for human	total area of the main	differentiate	
		consumption,	Energy Park	between the	
		e.g. availability	comprises of BMV	proportions of G1,	
		of irrigation;	land and would take	G2 and G3(a); all	
		drainage;	this land out of		
		storage; soil	productive arable use		
		quality; weed	for 40 years. The loss	The Council's	
		and pest		· ·	
		burdens. Food	land is not only of		
		production will	significant concern to	across the energy	
		remain	LCC in respect of this	park site is	
		possible due to	specific project and	'significant' both in	
		the presence of			
		sheep being	significant concern		
		grazed.	given the cumulative		
		Policy S67	and in-combination	context. NKDC	
		refers to the	effects of such loss		
		'loss' of the	when taking into	Applicant has not	
		BMV land – the word loss is	account other NSIP scale solar	proven that the	
				'need' to develop BMV land has been	
		important in			
		this context, as	are also currently	clearly established	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		the Applicant's	being promoted	(by reference to	
		project is	across Lincolnshire	CLLP policy S67,	
		predominantly	that are similarly	point (i), nor in	
		a change of	seeking to use high-	relation to point (iii)	
		use (for a	grade agricultural	that the impacts of	
		temporary	land.	the proposal upon	
		period) – not a	Also refer to LCC	ongoing agricultural	
		loss.	response to ExQ2	operations have	
		Taking to the	LUS.2.4 (also	been minimised	
		rest of the	response to Action	through the use of	
		Policy, it is	Point ISH3-AP13)	appropriate design	
		noted the need	(REP4-055)	solutions. Key areas	
		has been		to be considered by	
		clearly		the ExA will be the	
		established		weight afforded to	
		and insufficient		best and most	
		lower grade		versatile land in	
		land is		planning balance	
		available;		and whether	
		benefits and/or		suitable mitigation	
		sustainability		through grazing can	
		considerations		be secured albeit	
		outweigh the		NKDCs position in	
		need to protect		principle is that	
		such land;		mitigation does not	
		taking into		overcome impacts	
		account the		on BMV land.	
		economic and		Also refer to NKDC	
		other benefits;		response to ExQ2	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		impacts upon		LUS.2.4 (also	
		agricultural		response to Action	
		operations		Point ISH3-AP13)	
		have been		(REP4-056)	
		minimised			
		through the			
		use of			
		appropriate			
		design			
		solutions			
		(including a			
		Soil			
		Management			
		Plan) and where feasible			
		the land will be			
		restored.			
		These points			
		have formed a			
		large part of			
		the			
		Examination			
		(see for			
		example REP3-			
		038) and it is			
		not proposed			
		to repeat them			
		verbatim here			
		- however the			

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		need for			
		renewable			
		energy is			
		paramount;			
		providing			
		economic			
		benefits			
		locally;			
		minimal impact			
		as agriculture practices will			
		continue with			
		no jobs lost;			
		and the land			
		can be			
		returned to its			
		former use			
		after the			
		operational life			
		of the project.			
7.3 Agreed	Proposed uses	The site will	LCC is content that	NKDC note that the	No comment.
7.5 Agreed	of the land	remain in	should the DCO be	applicant has made	
	once	agriculture as	granted then	provision/commitm	
	operational	it will be	sufficient	ents in the OEMP	
		grazed. This is	provision/commitmen	and OLEMP and the	
		secured by	ts have been made in	draft wording of	
		legal obligation	the OEMP and OLEMP	Requirement 19 to	
		of	and the draft wording	secure sheep	
		Requirement	of Requirement 19 to	grazing, the broad	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		8, which secures the Landscape Ecological Management Plan and Requirement 19 which secures the Operational Environmental Management Plan (the outline of which explains the detail of sheep	ensure sheep grazing is secured. Notwithstanding concerns about the loss of BMV land, LCC is therefore content at least with the mechanisms being offered to secure this benefit.	mechanism for which is agreed. Notwithstanding concerns about the loss of BMV land, NKDC is therefore content at least with the mechanism being offered to secure this mitigation albeit that the OEMP and OLEMP requires revision to reflect the Council's submissions to ExQ2 question LUS	
7.4 No comment	Proposals for soil stockpiles and bunds	grazing). These will largely be in areas determined by final track positions and in proximity to where the soil is removed. Further details in Outline Soil	No comment.	No comment. NKDC agrees without prejudice that a Soil Management Plan can be secured by Requirement.	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		Management Plans (document reference 7.15).			
7.5 Agreed	Soil Management Plans	Outline Soil Management Plans (Energy Park and Cable Route) are included (document reference 7.15).	Outline Plans submitted agreed.	Dealt with by Requirement, further discussion on additional Requirement with the Applicant (without prejudice to the Council's position regarding BMV).	No comment.
7.6 Agreed	Site restoration following decommissioni ng	Outline Decommissioni ng and Restoration Plan (ODRP) are required as part of the certified documentation pack. The ODRP has been updated to include	The updated OEMP in relation to an extended period of outage is acceptable to LCC. LCC maintains that any failures and details of actions/measures taken to address these need to be discussed with the RPA within 72 hours	The updated OEMP in relation to an extended period of outage is acceptable to NKDC.	No comment.

Refer	ence and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
			the RPA within 72 hours of a failure of mitigation measures. The Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) updated at Deadline 5 provides for a period of extended outage and the actions to be taken after 12 months of being inoperative,	then detailed within monitoring reports rather then only be detailed every quarter. Also see LCC response to GEN.2.5 of ExAQ2 (Document ref: REP4-055)		
			and after a further 24 months of outage.			
8.	Landscape and Visual,	and Design				
8.1	No comment	The study area, including Zones of	The study area and ZTV have been	No comment.	No comment, agree with the ES.	No comment.

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
	Theoretical Visibility (ZTV)	considered appropriately, and proportionatel y.			
8.2 Not agreed	Landscape effects, identification of valued landscapes and setting of settlements	Any potential for adverse effects has been judged to be considerably limited by the existing vegetation that characterises the close to medium range landscape.	Refer to LIR, in particular definition of and application of 'significant' in relation to 'moderate effect'.	No comment, agree with the ES. The Council's position is that negative LVIA impacts accrue. Chapter 12 of the NKDC LIR refers.	No comment.
8.3 Not agreed	Visual effects and identification of sensitive receptors	Whilst certain elements of the Proposed Development would, inevitably, be more visible, for a scheme of its scale the residual landscape and	negative effects. The area is predominantly flat which would help to limit long distance	No comment, agree with the ES. The Council's position is that negative LVIA impacts accrue. Chapter 12 of the NKDC LIR refers.	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		visual effects	close range the LVIA		
		arising are	identifies a significant		
		considered to	change to high and		
		be highly	medium sensitivity		
		limited.	receptors. There is an		
			over reliance upon		
			hedgerow planting for		
			mitigation (which are		
			not a common		
			characteristic of the		
			site and the		
			immediate locality)		
			and hedgerows of 3m		
			to 5m would introduce		
			a significant vertical		
			element into views		
			which are currently		
			long and open and		
			characteristic of the		
			area. The effect would		
			be most notably		
			experienced by users		
			of country lanes to the		
			north of the site		
			where their views to		
			the south would be		
			foreshortened by very		
			high hedges which are		

Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		out of character for the area.		
Glint and glare	Considers residential properties, road, rail, air traffic and national trails. Glint is theoretically possible for many receptors before taking screening into account but is only visible to a few receptors after the existing screening is accounted for.	No comment.	No comment, agree with the ES.	No comment.
Mitigation proposals	The proposed mitigation planting has the potential to considerably reduce	See 8.3 above.	To be agreed by Requirement (Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan – document reference	No comment.
	Glint and glare Mitigation	Glint and glare Considers residential properties, road, rail, air traffic and national trails. Glint is theoretically possible for many receptors before taking screening into account but is only visible to a few receptors after the existing screening is accounted for. Mitigation proposals Mitigation planting has the potential to considerably	Glint and glare Considers residential properties, road, rail, air traffic and national trails. Glint is theoretically possible for many receptors before taking screening into account but is only visible to a few receptors after the existing screening is accounted for. Mitigation planting has the potential to considerably reduce Considers Position Out of character for the area. No comment. No comment. See 8.3 above.	Glint and glare Considers residential properties, road, rail, air traffic and national trails. Glint is theoretically possible for many receptors before taking screening into account but is only visible to a few receptors after the existing screening is accounted for. Mitigation planting has the potential to considerably reduce Considers Position NKDC's Position NCC's Position NKDC's Position NCC's Position No cament, agree with the ES.

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		effects, which would be geographically highly limited, both in character and visual terms.			
8.6 No comment	The Rochdale Envelope in relation to design and scale parameters and flexibility	ES complete including where Rochdale Envelope principle required.	No comment	No comment	No comment.
8.7 No comment	Consideration of good design and relevant guidance for all above ground structures including solar panels, substations and storage equipment	Since inception of the project design has been considered and updated following consultation; including set back from properties and watercourses; relocation of the substation and energy	No comment	No comment	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		storage and routing of the grid connection to Bicker Fen Substation.			
8.8 No comment	The need for a Design Approach document to guide detailed design, with consideration of future consultation and approval of detailed design proposals post-consent	The design approach document to guide detailed design is the Outline Design Principles (doc. ref. 7.1) which will be a certified document and is secured by Requirement 6 of the DCO. Consultation is a necessary part of the Examination process and is in-built into Requirement 6 for submission of the final design details.	No comment – no objection to securing by Requirement.	No comment - no objection to securing by Requirement.	No comment.

Refe	erence and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
9.	Socio-Economics					
9. 9.1	Socio-Economics Agreed	Economic and employment effects during all phases including on tourism and local businesses	An Outline Supply Chain, Employment and Skills Plan has been produced to optimise the number of local people who will have access to employment and training opportunities arising from the Proposed Development and is secured by DCO requirement	No specific comment but LCC do wish to be party of any legal agreement /contractual arrangement used to secure the funding and to be involved in discussions around how this is spent given we also have an economic development interest and work with local businesses and training providers to develop and support opportunities for investment,	No comment other than to note that NKDC agrees that the construction and operational phases will deliver socioeconomic benefit but highlight some negative impact on accommodation availability during construction (to tourists). NKDC agrees that the oSCES [REP3-015] adequately secures the principles of the apprenticeship	BBC agrees that the oSCES [REP3-015] adequately secures the principles of the apprenticeship scheme including the principle of a fund to facilitate training / skills, education and apprenticeships to a value of £50,000 per annum (index linked) for the lifetime of the scheme, given that the final scheme submitted under
			(Doc. Reference	employment and economic growth	scheme including the principle of a	Requirement 16 must be in accordance with
			7.12). The Applicant has included a provision to include further detail on an	across the County. We agree the exact legal mechanism and/or contractual arrangements for the payment of the fee	fund to facilitate training / skills, education and apprenticeships to a value of £50,000 per annum (index	the outline scheme and BBC have approval powers. The parties agree that the exact legal mechanism and/or

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		apprenticeship	can be dealt with	linked) for the	contractual
		scheme	alongside submission	lifetime of the	arrangements for the
		amongst other	and approval of the	scheme, given that	payment of the fee
		initiatives, and	final plan.	the final scheme	can be dealt with
		a fund to	Also refer to LCC	submitted under	alongside submission
		facilitate	response to EXQ2	Requirement 16	and approval of the
		training and	question SE.2.1	must be in	final plan. However,
		apprenticeship	submitted at DL4	accordance with the	the parties will work
		s for the	\	outline scheme and	together to agree
		operational	REP4-055)	NKDC have approval	heads of terms during
		lifetime of the		powers. NKDC are	the examination
		development.		content that the	period and proceed to
		The fund is for		mechanism of a	enter into the section
		£50,000 per		s106 is referred to in	106 agreement
		annum (index		the oSCES plan as	shortly thereafter.
		linked).		the appropriate	
		The parties will		'legal agreement' to	
		work together		be entered into	
		to agree heads		between the parties.	
		of terms for		The parties agree	
		the s106		that the exact legal	
		agremeent		mechanism and/or	
		during the		contractual	
		examination		arrangements for	
		period and		the payment of the	
		proceed to		fee can be dealt with	
		enter into the		alongside	
		section 106		submission and	
		agreement		approval of the final	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		shortly		plan. However, the	
		thereafter.		parties will work	
				together to agree	
				heads of terms	
				during the	
				examination period	
				and proceed to	
				enter into the	
				section 106	
				agreement shortly	
				thereafter.	
9.2 Agreed	Effects on local	Whilst there	Topics considered	Negative residential	No comment.
7.g. 30a	living	are some	within other sections	visual amenity until	
	conditions and	localised	of the SOCG including	year 5 as per the ES.	
	communities	significant	permissive path;	Positive on the	
	including	visual effects	construction traffic	community orchard	
	recreational	none would be	management, and	(access by	
	impacts	overbearing.	landscape and visual	agreement) and	
		Potential	including residential	permissive path	
		significant	visual amenity.	subject to securing	
		noise effects		by Requirement.	
		are identified if		Overall agree with	
		trenchless		ES conclusions and	
		works is		Lavender Test.	
		required and		Particular	
		remains active		consideration	
		at night,		needed of	
		depending on		construction	
		the final		impacts to Elm	

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		locations		Grange School.	
		where this may		CEMP and OEMP to	
		be required on		be secured by	
		the grid route.		Requirement; under	
		No recreational		discussion.	
		impact			
		currently			
		allowed over			
		the majority of			
		the Energy			
		Park site save			
		for nearest			
		neighbours			
		walking their			
		dogs by			
		agreement			
		with the			
		landowner.			
		The CTMP			
		doesn't go into			
		detail for each			
		road crossing			
		as typically			
		traffic			
		management			
		would be			
		determined by			
		the contractor.			
		However, para.			

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		7.26 suggests			
		that it may be			
		necessary to			
		implement			
		some night-			
		time closures			
		on the A17.			
		The CTMP			
		suggests that			
		drills may be			
		required for			
		the A17,			
		railway line			
		and South			
		Forty Foot			
		Drain but a			
		worse case for			
		the traffic and			
		access			
		considers			
		trench and			
		duct.			
		Paragraphs			
		7.30 to 7.36			
		suggest that			
		the traffic will			
		likely be			
		managed by			
		either give and			

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
Reference and Status	Торіс	Position		THE ST COLLIGIT	
		take, stop/go			
		boards,			
		temporary			
		traffic signals			
		or as a last			
		resort, a road			
		closure. In			
		terms of			
		impacts on			
		residents, the			
		CTMP at para.			
		7.24 notes that			
		it is envisaged			
		the cable run			
		will be			
		constructed			
		outside the			
		peak			
		construction			
		for the Energy			
		Park to			
		minimise			
		conflict and			
		impact on the			
		highway			
		network, and			
		at paragraph			
		7.25 suggests			
		that before			

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		construction a			
		letter will be			
		delivered to			
		the nearest			
		properties.			
10. Traffic and Transport					
10.1 Agreed / No comment	Access	Scheme	Traffic and transport,	No comment.	No comment subject
Total Aigreed / No comment	proposals	amended prior	subject to agreement		to LCC agreement.
		to submission	with Construction		
	Effects on the	to incorporate	Traffic Management		
	local and	Triton Knoll	Plan and Construction		
	strategic road	access track to	Environmental		
	networks, rail	avoid Bicker	Management Plan this		
	network and	village and	is considered neutral		
	public rights of	residents on	with no fundamental		
	way	Cowbridge	concerns. There is an		
	(considered	Road for the	impact but can be		
	under 10.3)	Applicant's	dealt with through		
		construction	Requirement. Further		
	Effects on non-	traffic.	detail including in the		
	motorised	Discussions .	LIR and Responses to		
	users, public	are ongoing	First Written		
	rights of way	with National	Questions.		
	and bridleways	Grid to secure			
		appropriate			
		measures for			
		construction of			
		the Bicker Fen			

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		extension and seek to minimise the impact on residents along Cowbridge Road. Tracks connecting to the grid route corridor are included to ensure they can be maintained for grid route access, e.g. repair potholes			
11. Water Environment a	nd Flood Risk	etc.			
11.1 Agreed / No comment	Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) including identification of risk zones and climate change allowance	Considered as part of Chapter 9, and a separate appendix.	No comment.	Sequential test noted above, to be agreed interpretation of sequential test and alternatives. Exception Test likely to be passed is agreed. No	No comment.

Refer	ence and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
					comment in relation to identification of risk zones and climate change allowance. NKDC has no comments on the Flood Risk Assessment.	
11.2	No comment	Surface water drainage strategy	Considered as Part 2 of the FRA which is an appendix to Chapter 9. Predominantly swales at field edges.	No comment.	Agreed/no comments.	No comment.
11.3	Agreed / No comment	Water quality including groundwater	Considered within Chapter 9 of the ES.	No comment.	Agreed/no comments.	No comment.
11.4	Agreed / No comment	Watercourse crossings	Considered within Chapter 4 of the ES.	No comment.	Agreed/no comments.	No comment.
11.5	Agreed / No comment	The Water Framework Directive	Considered within Chapter 9 of the ES.	No comment.	Agreed/no comments.	No comment.
12.	Other Matters					
12.1	Agreed / No comment	The Environmental	All environmental	Exception of methodology of LVIA	NKDC confirm that the methodology,	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
	Statement including its scope, methodology, baseline, likely significant effects, in- combination effects, mitigation measures and management plans.	constraints and sensitive receptors relevant to the determination of the application have been considered in the application plans and documents. The submitted EIA assesses the realistic worst-case effects of the development.	as outlined above (section 8.3).	likely significant effects, incombination effects for all chapters is agreed. REP2-048 and REP2-036 now advance the baseline evidence in relation to archaeology. NKDC agree that in principle Requirements can be drafted to agree mitigation measures and management plans. Regardless of the discussions in relation to mitigation of impacts in relation to BMV land (sheep grazing), NKDC does not agree that this will fully mitigate those impacts. NKDC agrees	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
12.2 No further comment	The need case, site selection and consideration of alternatives.	ES complete and considers alternative layouts and back check review on other sites.	Refer to Section 5.10 above.	address remaining archaeological and ecological matters including evidence of ability to deliver the BNG amounts as predicted (min. 65%). The general 'need' case is not challenged, site selection is covered under Flood Risk and alternatives. NKDC does not	Refer to Section 5.10 above.
		Planning Statement includes Need Case. The Applicant details local Policy S67 above; and further consideration of EN-1, and it's latest Draft are covered in the Statement		consider that the 'need' to develop BMV land has been fully justified by reference to national and local policy. Alternative layouts have been considered, and NKDC notes removal of some areas of BMV from the draft Order Limits during pre-application	

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
12.3 Agreed	Cumulative effects with	of Need and Planning Statement. ES considers cumulative	Refer to Section 5.4 above.	albeit it maintains that additional areas of BMV land could have been removed. Beacon Fen, Fosse Green and	No comment.
	other NSIPs and major projects in the region.	schemes including a further interrelationshi p report to be used as part of the Examination.	above.	Springwell, Lincolnshire Reservoir – not addressed in detail owing to timescales of submission. Two further TCPA 1990 sub-50MW solar farms at Little Hale Fen and Scredington (both live planning applications) also highlighted. NKDC highlights a particular concern regarding cumulative BMV impacts with other NSIP solar projects in Lincolnshire. The Interrelationship Report now considers these	

Reference and Status	Торіс	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
				schemes (REP1-021).	
12.4 Not resolved	Planning policy compliance.	Planning Statement and Chapter 5 consider the compliance with local and national planning policy.	Refer to Section 5.12 above.	Not agreed primarily in relation to BMV. See NKDC LIR and WR for discussion of policy compliance for specific technical areas.	No comment.
12.5 Agreed	The dDCO, its Articles and Requirements.	Further details available in the Explanatory Memorandum.	Refer to Section 3 above. Under Discussion.	Refer to Section 3 above. Under Discussion.	Refer to Section 3 above. Under Discussion.
12.6 Agreed / No comment	Any other matters raised by interested local residents, Members of the Council and internal consultees.	Agri-voltaics considered by subsequently ruled out. Compromise is reducing the Order Limits and areas remaining in arable agriculture along the southern and	No further comment in addition to the above.	No comment. See above in relation to cumulative effects and fire risk (battery selection) raised by NKDC Members in debate of the NKDC LIR. These matters will be set out in the Written Representation.	No comment.

Reference and Status	Topic	Applicant's Position	LCC's Position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
		western			
		boundary.			

Table 3 – Local Policies considered important and relevant

South Eas	t Lincolnshire Local Plan 2011-2036 (adopted March 2019)	BBC LIR	LCC LIR
Policy 1	Spatial Strategy		✓
Policy 2	Development Management	✓	✓
Policy 3	Design of New Development		✓
Policy 4	Approach to Flood Risk		✓
Policy 28	The Natural Environment	✓	✓
Policy 29	The Historic Environment	✓	✓
Policy 30	Pollution	✓	✓
Policy 31	Climate Change and Renewable and Low Carbon Energy	✓	✓
Policy 33	Delivering a More Sustainable Transport Network		✓
Central Lin	colnshire Local Plan 2023-2040 (adopted April 2023) (CLLP)	NKDC LIR	LCC LIR
Policy S1	The Spatial Strategy and Settlement Hierarchy	✓	
Policy S2	Level and Distribution of Growth	✓	
Policy S5	Development in the Countryside		✓
Policy S10	Supporting a Circular Economy	✓	✓
Policy S11	Embodied Carbon	✓	✓
Policy S12	Water Efficiency and Sustainable Water Management	✓	✓
Policy S14	Renewable Energy	✓	
Policy S16	Wider Energy Infrastructure	✓	✓
Policy S21	Flood Risk and Water Resources	✓	
Policy S28	Spatial Strategy for Employment	✓	
Policy S47	Accessibility and Transport	✓	✓
Policy S50	Community Facilities	✓	✓
Policy S53	Design and Amenity	✓	✓
Policy S54	Health and Wellbeing	✓	✓
Policy S57	The Historic Environment	✓	✓
Policy S59	Green and Blue Infrastructure	✓	✓
Policy S60	Protecting Biodiversity and Geodiversity	✓	✓

Policy S61	Biodiversity Opportunity and Delivering Measurable Net Gains	✓	
Policy S66	Trees, Woodland and Hedgerows	✓	✓
Policy S67	Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	✓	✓
Policy S84	Ministry of Defence Establishments	✓	

Table 4 – Outline Management Plans submitted at Deadline 4

	LCC's position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan	Agreed at Deadline 4, pending Deadline 5	Agreed	Agreed
Outline Energy Storage Safety Management Plan	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed
Outline Landscape Ecological Management Plan	Agreed	Agreed with the exception that NKDC does not wholly agree with the details relating to BMV mitigation by grazing, and considers that following establishment of new grassland, grazing should be at 4-8 sheep per hectare.	Agreed
Outline Written Scheme of Investigations – Evaluation & Mitigation	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed
Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan	3	Agreed	Agreed
Outline Supply Chain, Employment and Skills Plan	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed
Outline Decommissioning and Restoration Plan	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed

		LCC's position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
Outline	Operational	Agreed	NKDC does not wholly agree with the	Agreed
Environment	al Management		details relating to BMV mitigation by	
Plan			grazing, and considers that following	
			establishment of new grassland,	
			grazing should be at 4-8 sheep per	
			hectare.	
Outline Soil	Management	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed
Plan				

Table 5 - Section 106 Heads of Terms

	LCC's position	NKDC's Position	BBC's Position
Section 106 Heads of Terms	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed

SIGNATORIES

The above SoCG is agreed between Ecotricity (Heck Fen Solar) Limited ("the Applicant"), North Kesteven District Council, Lincolnshire County Council and Boston Borough Council, as specified below.

Duly authorised for and on behalf of Ecotricity (Heck Fen Solar) Limited

Name:	Laura White	
Job Title:	Senior Development Manager	
Date:	9 th February 2024	
Signature:		

Duly authorised for and on behalf of North Kesteven District Council

Name:	Mark Williets	
Job Title:	Development Manager	
Date:	12 th February 2024	
Signature:		

Duly authorised for and on behalf of Lincolnshire County Council

Name:	Neil McBride
Job Title:	Head of Planning
Date:	12 th February 2024
Signature:	

Duly authorised for and on behalf of Boston Borough Council

Name:	Abbie Marwood
Job Title:	Development Manager
Date:	
Signature:	